United States Court of AppealsFOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

1	No. 00-1	.055
Michael Pride, Sr.,	*	
	*	
Appellant,	*	
	*	Appeal from the United States
v.	*	District Court for the
	*	District of Nebraska.
Metropolitan Life Insurance Compan	ıy, *	
a New York Corporation,	*	[UNPUBLISHED]
	*	
Appellee.	*	
_		

Submitted: April 5, 2001 Filed: April 10, 2001

Before HANSEN, MORRIS SHEPPARD ARNOLD, and BYE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Michael Pride, an African-American male, appeals from the district court's¹ adverse grant of summary judgment, and its denial of his motion for reconsideration, in his 42 U.S.C. § 1981 action against his former employer, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company (MetLife). After de novo review, see Lynn v. Deaconess Med. Ctr.-W. Campus, 160 F.3d 484, 486 (8th Cir. 1998), we conclude the district court (1) properly granted summary judgment for the reasons stated in its order, see Dennis v.

¹The Honorable Joseph F. Bataillon, United States District Judge for the District of Nebraska.

<u>Dillard Dep't Stores, Inc.</u>, 207 F.3d 523, 525 (8th Cir. 2000) (denial of leave to amend under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)); <u>Ghane v. West</u>, 148 F.3d 979, 981-83 (8th Cir. 1998) (discrimination analysis); (2) did not err in striking portions of Pride's affidavit and exhibits, <u>see El Deeb v. Univ. of Minn.</u>, 60 F.3d 423, 428-29 (8th Cir. 1995) (affidavits opposing summary judgment motion shall be made on personal knowledge, set forth such facts as would be admissible, and show affirmatively affiant is competent to testify); and (3) did not abuse its discretion in denying Pride's motion for reconsideration, <u>see Sanders v. Clemco Indus.</u>, 862 F.2d 161, 169 (8th Cir. 1988) (standard of review).

Accordingly, we affirm. <u>See</u> 8th Cir. R. 47B. We grant MetLife's motion to strike portions of Pride's submission on appeal.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.